## ATTACHMENT 4 – EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR THE DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS

Checklist for the review of a request for delegation of plan making functions to councils

Local Government Area: City of Canada Bay

**Name of draft LEP**: Amendment No. 22 - Planning Proposal for LEP Miscellaneous Amendments

Address of Land (if applicable):LGA

**Intent of draft LEP:** To implement changes proposed by studies that City of Canada Bay has recently undertaken, and to make various housekeeping amendments.

## Additional Supporting Points/Information:

Planning Proposal (PP2020-0002) - LEP Miscellaneous Amendments (11 Dec 20) Planning Proposal Appendix 1 - Victoria Road Urban Design Review Planning Proposal Appendix 3 - Victoria Road Capacity Testing Planning Proposal Appendix 4 - Victoria Road Traffic Assessment Planning Proposal Appendix 6 - Low Rise Medium Density Review Recommendations Report DPIE Gateway Submission Information Checklist DPIE Gateway Submission Evaluation Criteria

| Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation                                                                                                                                         | Council response                                                                         |                 | Department<br>assessment |              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Y/N                                                                                      | Not<br>relevant | Agree                    | Not<br>agree |
| (Note: where the matter is identified as relevant and<br>the requirement has not been met, council is attach<br>information to explain why the matter has not been<br>addressed)                |                                                                                          |                 |                          |              |
| Is the planning proposal consistent with the Standard Instrument Order, 2006?                                                                                                                   | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?                                                           | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment?                                                                                             | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation?                                                                                                                    | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General?                                           | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant S117 Planning Directions?                                                                                       | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Is the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?                                                                                            | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Minor Mapping Error Amendments                                                                                                                                                                  | Y/N                                                                                      |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor<br>mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that<br>clearly identify the error and the manner in which the<br>error will be addressed? | Y                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Heritage LEPs                                                                                                                                                                                   | Y/N                                                                                      |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local heritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office?                                                 | N                                                                                        |                 |                          |              |
| Does the planning proposal include another form of<br>endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there<br>is no supporting strategy/study?                                              | Includes<br>background and<br>recommendation<br>from Council<br>Heritage<br>Coordinator. |                 |                          |              |

| Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?                                                                                                                                      |                                             | N/A |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----|--|
| Reclassifications                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Y/N                                         |     |  |
| Is there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | N, rezoning<br>only, no<br>reclassification |     |  |
| If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy?                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                             | N/A |  |
| Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                             | N/A |  |
| Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site?                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                             | N/A |  |
| Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under section 30 of the Local Government Act, 1993?                                                                                                                                                                                |                                             | N/A |  |
| If so, has council identified all interests; whether any<br>rights or interests will be extinguished; any trusts and<br>covenants relevant to the site; and, included a copy of<br>the title with the planning proposal?                                                                     |                                             | N/A |  |
| Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning<br>proposal in accordance with the department's Practice<br>Note (PN 09-003) Classification and reclassification of<br>public land through a local environmental plan and Best<br>Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land? |                                             | N/A |  |
| Has council acknowledged in its planning proposal that<br>a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one<br>as part of its documentation?                                                                                                                                          |                                             | N/A |  |
| Spot Rezonings                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Y/N                                         |     |  |
| Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?                                                                                                                                  |                                             | N/A |  |
| Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has<br>been identified following the conversion of a principal<br>LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?                                                                                                                             |                                             | N/A |  |
| Will the planning proposal deal with a previously<br>deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it<br>provide enough information to explain how the issue that<br>lead to the deferral has been addressed?                                                                           |                                             | N/A |  |

| If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | N/A |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|
| Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | N/A |  |
| Section 73A matters                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |     |  |
| Does the proposed instrument                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | N/A |  |
| a. correct an obvious error in the principal instrument<br>consisting of a misdescription, the inconsistent<br>numbering of provisions, a wrong cross-reference, a<br>spelling error, a grammatical mistake, the insertion of<br>obviously missing words, the removal of obviously<br>unnecessary words or a formatting error?; |     |  |
| <ul> <li>address matters in the principal instrument that are<br/>of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other<br/>minor nature?; or</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                         |     |  |
| c. deal with matters that do not warrant compliance<br>with the conditions precedent for the making of the<br>instrument because they will not have any significant<br>adverse impact on the environment or adjoining<br>land?                                                                                                  |     |  |
| (NOTE – the Minister (or Delegate) will need to form an Opinion under section 73(A(1)(c) of the Act in order for a matter in this category to proceed).                                                                                                                                                                         |     |  |

## NOTES

- Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance.
- Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the department.